

[illegible]

		Total:		20
				80.00%

Comments

1 Jane Doe will be tenured after this semester, Spring 2022, and this proposal continues until Summer 2023. Will be tenured professor e
2. The proposal stated "a conference in Dublin" as the travel expenses. In the narratives, however, no information related to that confere
Having trouble tying conference to research project

I did not see how the money will be used. The cover page mentions a trip to Ireland, but there is nothing in the proposal describing the t

To be very honest I found the typos very off-putting. The application seems thin and rushed. There are no explanations of how this team

The CV is too long (13 pages). It should be within 5 pages. Otherwise a nice application.

Also ICLR Grant is \$1000, may be a stretch to get \$2000 min (though they say possibly higher than \$1000).

One question: According to the narratives, the external grant was submitted in Jan. 2022. Was it submitted? If yes, then my decision wi

The proposal is very well written and addresses all requirements. The timeline, outcomes, and how the award will be used are well desc

How was the cost of air travel and lodging calculated?

Flight cost estimate (from travel agency) not provided

Just needs more explanation of the partial lodging payments.

Otherwise - very strong.

Nice application.

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

Comments

No external grant application plan

Very clear budget, however lazy in the grant application portion. As if did not see this is a required component. Needs to

Budget is well described and detailed. The activity is eligible and clearly indicates what the award will be used.

There is no grant writing plan.

--

There is no explanation of why this is a post-tenure grant and not a scholarly incentive grant. I wish he would explain w

This is a re-submission of PI's previous application for the Provost's Post-Tenure Fellowship. However, there is little in

[illegible]

[illegible]


.....

o have

.....

Why this particular project is important to his scholarship and work post-tenure. I found the application very thin. I said "

Improvement of the application. PI still did not follow the guidelines for the post-tenure fellowship. There are no grant w



3" for my response to it, but really I just don't know

riting plan and timeline is too brief. PI did not seem to have any intention to seek for any potential external grants.



Applicant First Name	Applicant Last Name	Reviewer Name	Department
Jane	Doe	John Doe	EHD
Jane	Doe	John Doe	EHD
Jane	Doe	John Doe	EHD
Jane	Doe	John Doe	EHD
Jane	Doe	John Doe	EHD
Jane	Doe	John Doe	KSSPE
Jane	Doe	John Doe	KSSPE
Jane	Doe	John Doe	KSSPE
Jane	Doe	John Doe	KSSPE

			Total:
Jane	Doe	John Doe	Biology
Jane	Doe	John Doe	Biology
Jane	Doe	John Doe	Biology
Jane	Doe	John Doe	Biology
Jane	Doe	John Doe	Biology
Jane	Doe	John Doe	Biology
			Total:
Jane	Doe	John Doe	Enviromental Science an
Jane	Doe	John Doe	Enviromental Science an
Jane	Doe	John Doe	Enviromental Science an
Jane	Doe	John Doe	Enviromental Science an
			Total:
Jane	Doe	John Doe	CMC
Jane	Doe	John Doe	CMC

Jane	Doe	John Doe	CMC
Jane	Doe	John Doe	CMC
			Total:
Jane	Doe	John Doe	Criminal Justice
Jane	Doe	John Doe	Criminal Justice
Jane	Doe	John Doe	Criminal Justice
Jane	Doe	John Doe	Criminal Justice
Jane	Doe	John Doe	Criminal Justice
			Total:
Jane	Doe	John Doe	Dance
Jane	Doe	John Doe	Dance
Jane	Doe	John Doe	Dance
Jane	Doe	John Doe	Dance

Jane	Doe	John Doe	Dance
			Total:

Score
3
2
3
4
1
13
52%
3
3
1
3
3

13
52%
5
4
3
4
5
21
84%
5
4
5
5
19
76%
3
4

3
3
13
52%
5
4
5
3
4
21
84%
5
4
5
5

1
20
80%

Comments
Other funding sources: I believe this project has received a pre-tenure grant last year. Did Dr. Bawa consider other funding sources?

Can you use remaining Pre-Tenure Grant funds with SIA funds?
.....

How much exactly is requested?

Venue for dissemination well identified

Adequate timeline.

Just not sure why they need to spend 7 nights at the hotel as the conference is three or four days.
.....

--

1. The Scholarly Incentive Award cannot be used for attending conferences,
2. PI just received Pre-Tenure Award for the same project in Fall 2021.

Decision will be pending the IRB approval.

Is this well thought out? What is being probed with the questions? What is the goal beyond publications already cited?

If everyone else recommends - then change me to a recommend....

The project is interesting, but the proposal lacks details:
1. More detailed budget. Trip from Nebraska for example.
2. Revise research assistant hourly rate (should be \$13.20)
3. What will be the product(s)?

Budget needs to be expanded - needs much more detail. Per diem, why Nebraska etc.

-
1. The budget needs to be more specific, especially about \$750, the part for traveling.
 2. The timeline needs focus on project activities, not daily activities during camping.
 3. In the timeline it should include potential venues for publication and/or professional presentation.
 4. The CV is ridiculously long (60 pages!). It should be within 5 pages.

The application is clear with all the information needed.

--

We should probably ask him to submit quotes or prices from state approved vendors to prove budget

Please identify where the manuscript will be submitted.

--

Could give more specifics about the journals for possible publication and the conferences for possible presentation.

--

Nice application.

--

--

--

--

--

--

The application is clear with all the information needed.

--

recommend

--

Excellent and complete application.

--

--

--

--

--

--

--

--

Pending IRB approval. If approved, #4. If no, #2.

--

recommend

--

Objectives well defined.

Outcomes are well identified

Budget: Use the per diem calculation for food.

Budget needs to be formalized.

I am interested to hear from the committee as a whole about the IRB process with this kind of study.

The application is clear and the questions raised by the previous review were all answered.

A note to be passed back to Duru. There may be a longer term solution. There are super computers available at the U of R (see link)

<https://www.circ.rochester.edu/resources.html>

The research project is very well described, and the proposed activities are justified.

The products are indicated.

Budget and timeline are clear.

Budget seems to need \$875, yet request is for \$1000.

Needs to explain that.

This is a re-submission from the previous failed application (Fall 2021). PI seems have addressed all questions raised

The application is clear with all the information needed.

recommen

Excellent and complete application.

None

Just received the Post-Tenure Grant for the same project in Fall 2021.

[illegible]

[illegible]