Applicant First Name	Applicant Last Name	Reviewer Nam	e Departmen Sc	ore
Jane	Doe	John Doe	EHD	2
Jane	Doe	John Doe	EHD	3
Jane	Doe	John Doe	EHD	3
Jane	Doe	John Doe	ED	2
Jane	1000	John Boc		
Jane	Doe	John Doe		4
		Total:		14
		Total.		56%
				3070
Jane	Doe	John Doe	Anthropolo	3
Jane	Doe	John Doe	Anthropolo	3
Jane	Doe	John Doe	Anthropolo	5
Jane	Doe	John Doe	Antinopolo	
Jane	Doe	John Doe	Anthropolo	4
Jane	Doe			_
		John Doe	Anthropolo	5
			+ +	
			<del>                                     </del>	

	Total:	20
		80.00%

Comments
1Jane Doe will be tenured after this semester, Spring 2022, and this proposal continues until Summer 2023. Will be tenured professor e
2. The proposal stated "a conference in Dublin" as the travel expenses. In the narratives, however, no information related to that confere
Having trouble tying conference to research project
I did not see how the money will be used. The cover page mentions a trip to Ireland, but there is nothing in the proposal describing the t
Take not see now the money will be used. The cover page mentions a trip to fretaine, but there is nothing in the proposal describing the t
To be very honest I found the typos very off-putting. The application seems thin and rushed. There are no explanations of how this team
10 00 yely honor 1 locals the types yely off parents, the appropriate seems than the first the explanations of how this team
The CV is too long (13 pages). It should be within 5 pages. Otherwise a nice application.
Also ICLR Grant is \$1000, may be a stretch to get \$2000 min (though they say possibly higher than \$1000).
One question: According to the narratives, the external grant was submitted in Jan. 2022. Was it submitted? If yes, then my decision wi
The proposal is very well written and addresses all requirements. The timeline, outcomes, and how the award will be used are well desc
How was the cost of air travel and lodging calculated?
Flight cost estimate (from travel agency) not provided
Just needs more explanation of the partial lodging payments.
Otherwise - very strong.
Nice application.

<u> </u>			

	٦	
	4	
	7	
	4	
	7	
	┥	
	7	
	4	
	7	
	4	
	<b>-</b>	
	7	
	4	
	1	
	_	
	╡	
	_	
-	┥	
	_	
	┥	
	7	
	-	
	7	
	=	
	7	
	4	
	7	
	┥	
L		
	7	
	┥	
	7	
	-	
-	7	
	l	
	٦	
	4	
	₫	
	4	
	Ⅎ	
	_	
	╡	
	_	
	┥	
	٦	
	7	
	┥	
	<del>-</del>	

Applicant First Name	Applicant Last Name	Reviewer Name
Jane	Doe	John Doe
Jane	Doe	John Doe
June	500	301111 200
Jane	Doe	John Doe
Jane	Doe	John Doe
Jane	Doe	John Doe

Department	Score
PLS	2
1 13	
	_
PLS	2
PLS	1
1 23	
	_
PLS	3
PLS	2
Total:	10
	40%

Comments
No external grant application plan
Very clear budget, however lazy in the grant application portion. As if did not see this is a required component. Needs
Budget is well described and detailed. The activity is eligible and clearly indicates what the award will be used.
There is no grant writing plan.
There is no explanation of why this is a post-tenure grant and not a scholarly incentive grant. I wish he would explain v
This is a re-submission of PI's previous application for the Provost's Post-Tenure Fellowship. However, there is little in

o have	
hy this particular project is important to his scholarship and work post-tenure. I found the application very thin. I s	said "
nprovement of the application. PI still did not follow the guidelines for the post-tenure fellowship. There are no gr	ant w

" for my response to it, but really I just don't know
iting plan and timeline is too brief. PI did not seem to have any intention to seek for any potential external grants.

Applicant First Name	Applicant Last Name	Reviewer Name	Department
Jane	Doe	John Doe	EHD
Jane	Doe	John Doe	EHD
lana	Dec	John Doo	TIID.
Jane	Doe	John Doe	EHD
Jane	Doe	John Doe	EHD
lana	Dee	John Doe	TIID.
Jane	Doe	Joini Doe	EHD
			Total:
Jane	Doe	John Doe	KSSPE
Jane	Doe	John Doe	KSSPE
Jane	Doe	John Doe	KSSPE
Jane	Doe	John Doe	KSSPE
Julic	IDUE	301111 006	NJJI L
Jane	Doe	John Doe	KSSPE

			1
			Tatal
			Total:
Jane	Doe	John Doe	Biology
Jane	Doe	John Doe	Biology
Jane	Doe	John Doe	Biology
			<u> </u>
Jane	Doe	John Doe	Biology
Julic		301111 200	Бююду
lama	Dag	Jaha Das	Dialam.
Jane	Doe	John Doe	Biology
			Total:
Jane	Doe	John Doe	Enviromental Science ar
Jane	Doe	John Doe	Enviromental Science an
Jane Jane	Doe Doe	John Doe John Doe	Enviromental Science ar
Jane	Doe	John Doe	Enviromental Science ar
Jane	Doe	John Doe	Enviromental Science ar
Jane Jane	Doe Doe	John Doe John Doe	Enviromental Science ar Enviromental Science ar
Jane Jane	Doe Doe	John Doe John Doe	Enviromental Science ar Enviromental Science ar
Jane Jane	Doe Doe	John Doe John Doe	Enviromental Science ar  Enviromental Science ar  Enviromental Science ar
Jane Jane	Doe Doe	John Doe John Doe	Enviromental Science ar Enviromental Science ar
Jane Jane	Doe Doe	John Doe John Doe	Enviromental Science ar  Enviromental Science ar  Enviromental Science ar
Jane Jane	Doe Doe	John Doe John Doe	Enviromental Science ar  Enviromental Science ar  Enviromental Science ar
Jane Jane	Doe Doe	John Doe  John Doe  John Doe	Enviromental Science ar  Enviromental Science ar  Enviromental Science ar  Total:
Jane Jane	Doe Doe	John Doe John Doe	Enviromental Science ar  Enviromental Science ar  Enviromental Science ar
Jane Jane	Doe Doe	John Doe  John Doe  John Doe	Enviromental Science ar  Enviromental Science ar  Enviromental Science ar  Total:

Jane	Doe	John Doe	CMC
Jane	Doe	John Doe	CMC
			Total:
Jane	Doe	John Doe	Criminal Justice
Jane	Doe	John Doe	Criminal Justice
Jane	Doe	John Doe	Criminal Justice
Jane	Doe	Joini Doe	Cililiai Justice
Jane	Doe	John Doe	Criminal Justice
Jane	Doc	301111 200	Cililia Justice
Jane	Doe	John Doe	Criminal Justice
Jane	Doc	301111 200	Total:
			Total.
Jane	Doe	John Doe	Dance
- Carlo		301111 200	Barroc
Jane	Doe	John Doe	Dance
	1500	301111 200	Barroc
Jane	Doe	John Doe	Dance
Juile	1006	Joini Due	Dance
Jane	Doe	John Doe	Dance
Jane	1006	Joini Doe	Dance

Jane	Doe	John Doe	Dance
			Total:

Score	
	3
	2
	3
	4
	1
	12
	13 52%
	JZ/0
	3
	3
	4
	1
	3
	3

13
52%
32%
5
4
3
4
_
5
5 21
5 21 84%
21 84%
21 84% 5
21 84%
21 84% 5
21 84% 5
21 84% 5
21 84% 5 4
21 84% 5
21 84% 5 4
21 84% 5 4 5
21 84% 5 4 5
21 84% 5 4 5
21 84% 5 4
21 84% 5 4 5
21 84% 5 4 5 5 19 76%
21 84% 5 4 5
21 84% 5 4 5 5 19 76%
21 84% 5 4 5 5 19 76%
21 84% 5 4 5 5 19 76%

	3
	2
	3
	13
	13
	52%
	5
	4
<u> </u>	
	_
	5
	3
	4
	21
	0.404
	84%
	5
	4
	5
	5
	5
	5
	5

\_\_\_\_\_1 \_\_\_\_\_\_20 \_\_\_\_\_80%

Comments
Other funding sources: I believe this project has received a pre-tenure grant last year. Did Dr. Bawa consider other funding source
Can you use remaining Pre-Tenure Grant funds with SIA funds?
How much exactly is requested?
Venue for dissemination well identified
Adequate timeline.
Just not sure why they need to spend 7 nights at the hotel as the conference is three or four days.
1. The Scholarly Incentive Award cannot be used for attending conferences,
2. PI just received Pre-Tenure Award for the same project in Fall 2021.
Decision will be pending the IRB approval.
Is this well thought out? What is being probed with the questions? What is the goal beyond publications already cited?
If everyone else recommends - then change me to a recommend
The project is interesting, but the proposal lacks details:
1. More detailed budget. Trip from Nebraska for example.
2. Revise research assistant hourly rate (should be \$13.20)
3. What will be the product(s)?
Budget needs to be expanded - needs much more detail. Per diem, why Nebraska etc.
Budget needs to be expanded - needs much more detail. I'el dielli, willy Nebraska etc.

- 1. The budget needs to be more specific, especially about \$750, the part for traveling.
- 2. The timeline needs focus on project activities, not daily activities during camping.
- 3. In the timeline it should include potential venues for publication and/or professional presentation.
- 4. The CV is ridiculously long (60 pages!). It should be within 5 pages.

The application is clear with all the information needed.
We should probably ask him to submit quotes or prices from state approved vendors to prove budget
The should productly ask tillit to submit quotes of prices from state approved vehicles to prove stage.
Please identify where the manuscript will be submitted.
Could give more specifics about the journals for possible publication and the conferences for possible
presentation.
Alian amplication
Nice application.
The application is clear with all the information needed.
recommend
Excellent and complete application.
Pending IRB approval. If approved, #4. If no, #2.
recommend

Objectives well defined.
Outcomes are well identified
Budget: Use the per diem calculation for food.
Budget needs to be formalized.
I am interested to hear from the committee as a whole about the IRB process with this kind of study.
The application is clear and the questions raised by the previous review were all answered.
The application is clear and the questions raised by the previous review were an answered.
A note to be passed back to Duru. There may be a longer term solution. There are super computers available at the U of R (see li
A note to be passed back to Dutu. There may be a tonger term solution. There are super computers available at the o of K (see if
https://www.circ.rochester.edu/resources.html
The control of the co
The research project is very well described, and the proposed activities are justified.
The man diviste and in directed
The products are indicated.
Budget and timeline are clear
Budget and timeline are clear.
Budget seems to need \$875, yet request is for \$1000.
Needs to explain that.
This is a re-submission from the previous failed application (Fall 2021). PI seems have addressed all questions raised
This is a re-submission from the previous faired application (Fair 2021). Fr seems have addressed an questions raised
The application is clear with all the information needed.
The approach is even with an the information needed.
recommen
Excellent and complete application.
Enventors and complete approacher.
None
11000

Just received the Post-Tenure Grant for the same project in Fall 2021.

-				
es, such as FS	A and UUP g	rant?		
1				
1				
-				

<u> </u>			
ļ			